



## DESIGN HAZARD ELIMINATION & RISK REDUCTION REGISTER

Document Number: 7694-CCA2\_3-P3-REG-CV-JAC-0003

Project Title: East Coast Railway - Phase 3 - CCA2 & CCA3

Project Number: D3658302

Client: Iarnród Éireann Irish Rail

Project Manager: Damien Keneghan

Design Manager: Jon Denner

Local HSEiD Advisor

Revision	Issue Date	Revision Description	Prepared By	Checked By	Reviewed By	Approved By
A.1	5-Jan-24	Draft for Concept Stage	David Thomas	Rita Martins	Jill Gambrill	Jon Denner
A.2	7-Oct-24	Emerging Preferred Scheme Concept	Emily Marshall	Jill Savory	Jill Savory	Jon Denner
A	12-Aug-25	FIRST ISSUE	Emily Jennings	Jill Savory	Jill Savory	Damien Keneghan

## Introduction

This provides a means of identifying design hazards and recording design mitigation and risk reduction actions taken.

All foreseeable design hazards for each discipline will be entered into the Design Hazard Elimination & Risk Reduction Register (DHERRR) by the Designers.

A single point of contact will be responsible for coordinating design stakeholder input to the DHERRR to ensure that there are no gaps in design information knowledge exchange.

The discipline lead designer(s) will be responsible for ensuring completeness and consistency of their design discipline across the project.

The full completed DHERRR shall form part of the design record for this project.

Drawings and documents which contain significant risks shall reference this document in the drawings or document notes.

Hazard / Risk Register completion		
Column 1	Risk ID	Enter the Hazard/ Risk number, this should be sequential.
Column 2	Design Hazard Review Activity Description	State what activity the design hazard was identified (from pull down menu): <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Design</li><li>• Interactive Design Safety Session</li><li>• Hazop Meeting</li><li>• Hazid Meeting</li><li>• Routine Design Team Meeting</li><li>• Design Stage Meeting</li><li>• Pre-Tender Design Review Meeting</li><li>• Construction Phase Design Revision</li><li>• HSE in Design Review</li></ul>
Column 3	Phase	Identify what phase of the project the Hazard applies to (from pull down menu): <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• P - Pre-construction</li><li>• C - Construction</li><li>• M - Maintain / Clean</li><li>• U - Use as a workplace</li><li>• D - Demolish/Decommission</li></ul>
Column 4	Activity	Describe the Activity to be undertaken where a Hazard may be present
Column 5	Potential Hazard	Describe the Hazard associated with the described activity
Column 6	Who is at Risk	Identify who is at risk against each associated activity (from pull down menu): <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Construction</li><li>• Commissioning</li><li>• Operations</li><li>• Maintenance</li><li>• Decommissioning</li><li>• Demolition</li><li>• Public</li></ul>
Column 7	Probability	Determine the Probability of the <b>unmitigated</b> Hazard (from pull down menu). <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• 1 - Highly Unlikely</li><li>• 2 - Unlikely</li><li>• 3 - Possible</li><li>• 4 - Likely</li><li>• 5 - Highly Likely</li></ul>

Column 8	Worst Potential Severity (WPS)	<p>Determine the Worst Potential Severity (WPS) of the <b>unmitigated</b> Hazard (from pull down menu).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1 - Nil or slight injury / illness, property damage or environmental issue.</li> <li>• 2 - Minor injury / illness, property damage or environmental issue</li> <li>• 3 - Moderate injury or illness, property damage or environmental issue</li> <li>• 4 -Major injury or illness, property damage or environmental issue.</li> <li>• 5 - Fatal or long term disabling injury or illness. Significant property damage or environmental issue.</li> <li>• <b>10 - Multiple fatalities and catastrophic event</b></li> </ul>
Column 9 Severity	Initial Risk Rating	<p>Calculates the Initial Risk Rating of the <b>unmitigated</b> hazard (Probability x WPS) Automatic RAG for status</p> <p>1 - 5    - Green 6 - 10   - Amber &lt;10    - Red</p>
Column 10 Risk	Designer	<p>Select the design discipline raising the hazard (amend to suit in the 'Reference' tab)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Architect</li> <li>• Mechanical</li> <li>• Electrical</li> <li>• Civil/Structural</li> <li>• Environmental</li> <li>• Control / Instrumentation</li> <li>• Piping</li> <li>• HVAC</li> <li>• Commissioning</li> <li>• Non Jacobs Designer</li> <li>• Client</li> <li>• User entry</li> <li>• All Disciplines</li> </ul>

Column 11	Design Measures To Eliminate Hazard	Describe the Design Measures to be implemented to Eliminate the Hazard as a FIRST CHOICE
Column 12	Design Measures To Reduce Risk	Describe the Design Measures to be implemented to Reduce the Risk associated with the Hazard SECOND CHOICE
Column 13	Residual Probability	Determine the Probability of the <b>residual risk</b> from the hazard (from pull down menu). Selection per column 7
Column 14	Residual WPS	Determine the Severity of the <b>residual risk</b> from the Hazard (from pull down menu). Selection per column 8
Column 15	Residual Risk Rating	Calculates the Residual Risk Rating from the hazard (Probability x WPS) Automatic RAG for status
Column 16	Residual Risk Description	Describe clearly the Residual Risk associated with the Hazard to be managed by those using the Design
Column 17	Included in Drawing No(s)	List the documents where the Residual Risk has been communicated to those using the Design
Column 18	Action By	State who the action is to be taken/completed ( Name or Role)
Column 19	Target Date	Insert the initial target completion date here. This date should not be revised
Column 20	Revised Target Date	Insert the latest revised target completion date here.
Column 21	Date Action Complete	Insert the date the Action was completed - or was transferred to a subsequent action
Column 22	Tracker Status	Automatic RAG rating for status. GREEN indicates that the action is ongoing with time in hand. AMBER is imminently due and RED indicates due or overdue
Column 23	Comments	Insert comments here relating to current status, whether the action is fully closed out, or is subsumed into another action etc
Column 24	Primary Legislation	Identify the primary legislation in the country where the design hazard relates to (where applicable).

The HSE in Design Review shall confirm that the Design Hazard Elimination and Risk Reduction process has been completed and that the Residual Risks are acceptable to the Project.

Information contained in this document is confidential and proprietary to Jacobs or its affiliates and is for reference only. Jacobs does not make any representation or warranty and shall not be liable in any way with respect to the information contained herein or the use thereof. The information shall not be reproduced, copied, loaned, exhibited, disclosed or used in whole or in part without the prior written consent of Jacobs. The document and any copies thereof shall be returned on request of Jacobs. All rights reserved.



<b>Project Name:</b>	East Coast Railway - Phase 3 - CCA2 & CCA3
<b>Project Number:</b>	D3658302
<b>Client:</b>	Iarnród Éireann Irish Rail

## DESIGN HAZARD ELIMINATION & RISK REDUCTION REGISTER OF DESIGN REVIEWS

[illegible]

**Jacobs**

**OVERVIEW OF CRITICAL RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT.** This identifies the top 20 hazards/risks associated with design, construction, operation, maintenance and demolition of the project.

[illegible]

Latest Meeting Date		Update Critical Risk Summary Tab										Worst Potential Severity (WPS) of Impact										Risk Rating									
Phase												1: Nil or slight injury / illness, property damage or environmental issue. 2: Minor injury / illness, property damage or environmental issue. 3: Moderate injury or illness, property damage or environmental issue. 4: Major injury or illness, property damage or environmental issue. 5: Fatal or long term disabling injury or illness. Significant property damage or environmental issue. 10: Multiple fatalities and catastrophic event										NOTE: The purpose of Risk Rating is to determine which risks are significant. It is a subjective assessment and not an absolute or precise determination									
Project Name:		Earl Court Railway - Phase 1: C&A2 & C&A3																				High Medium Low									
Project Number:		D3658302																				11 Total high risks 5 Total med risks 21 Total low risks									
Client:		Iarnród Éireann Irish Rail																													
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24								
Risk ID	Design Hazard Review Stage Description	Phase	Activity	Potential Hazard	Person(s) Most at Risk	Prob	WPS	Initial Risk Rating	designer	design Measures to Eliminate Hazards	design Measures to Reduce Risk	Residual Prob	Residual WPS	Residual Risk Rating	Residual Risk Description	Included on Drawing No(s) or other doc. (give ref.)	Action By (Name or Role)	Target Date	Revised Target Date	Date Action Complete	Tracker Status	Comments	Primary Legislation								
1	D- design Stage Review	C	Existing Services	Damage to existing services during construction leading to death or injury to site personnel	Staff	3	5	15	Civil / Structural	Preliminary identification of services included on Plan drawings. Hazard not eliminated at this design Stage	Full services survey to be undertaken during detailed design development.	3	5	15	Damage to existing services during construction leading to death or injury to site personnel.	Drawings & Documents (to be prepared at DD stage) Contractor Reliability/Method Statement (this is not a Jacobs document)	designer / Contractor	Phase 4			ONGOING	Full services search to be undertaken at detailed design stage. Contractor to survey location prior to excavation works, where reasonable.	BSA								
2	D- design Stage Review	C	Use of vehicle/plant on site - Public	Transportation over foreshore and access ramps, etc. Potential plant malfunctioning leading to potential for injury/death to members of public with access to the foreshore.	Public	2	5	10	Civil / Structural	Hazard not eliminated at this design Stage.	Access points to be identified and to be incorporated during design development.	2	5	10	Transportation over foreshore and access ramps, etc. Potential plant malfunctioning leading to potential for injury/death to members of public with access to the foreshore.	Contractor Reliability/Method Statement (this is not a Jacobs document)	Contractor	Phase 4			ONGOING	Contractor to include appropriate traffic management and works segregation in method statements with mitigation and reduction measures to separate vehicles and public.	BSA								
3	D- design Stage Review	C	Use of vehicle/plant on site - Construction Staff	Transportation over foreshore and access ramps, etc. Potentially leading to potential injury/death to Construction staff resulting from vehicles overturning.	Staff	2	5	10	Civil / Structural	Hazard not eliminated at this design Stage.	Access points and restrictions to be incorporated at preliminary design stage. As a minimum, existing access points will be maintained, and additional access points will be considered.	2	5	10	Transportation over foreshore and access ramps, etc. Potentially leading to potential injury/death to Construction staff resulting from vehicles overturning.	Contractor Reliability/Method Statement (this is not a Jacobs document)	Contractor	Phase 4			ONGOING	Contractor to include site access routes and working areas with mitigation and reduction measures in method statements, detailed design to consider access restrictions (e.g. ramp loadings)	BSA								
4	D- design Stage Review	C	Unstable ground conditions	Potential for site operatives or plant to become stuck in pockets of soft or loose ground, instability of plant working in areas of low soil strength. Risk of suffocation, crush injuries from sinking into ground/floors or damage to plant.	Staff	3	5	15	Civil / Structural	Hazard not eliminated at this design Stage.	Inform contractor of risk of soft ground from GI and geotechnical analysis in detailed design.	2	5	10	Potential for site operatives or plant to become stuck in pockets of soft ground, instability of plant working in areas of low soil strength. Risk of suffocation, crush injuries from sinking into ground/floors or damage to plant.	Drawings & Documents (to be prepared at DD stage) Contractor Reliability/Method Statement (this is not a Jacobs document)	designer / Contractor	Phase 4			ONGOING	Contractor to prepare method statement and safe systems of work. Risk to be updated following completion GI and geotechnical analysis.	BSA								
5	D- design Stage Review	C	Storage of rock	Public climbing on rock piles, being trapped in voids or crushed by falling rock.	Public	2	5	10	Civil / Structural	Hazard not eliminated at this design Stage.	Avoid stockpiles of rock in publicly accessible areas as far as possible	2	5	10	Public climbing on rock piles, being trapped in voids or crushed by falling rock.	Contractor Reliability/Method Statement (this is not a Jacobs document)	Contractor	Phase 4			ONGOING	Contractor to identify secure area for storage of rock and in accordance with the specification and propose method for storage. Experienced Contractor and subcontractors to be appointed. Main stockpile within secure and segregated from public.	BSA								
6	D- design Stage Review	C	Handling and placement of rock armour	Death/injury to site personnel from loss of control of rocks (movement) due to soft ground conditions/unexpected by construction plans.	Staff	2	5	10	Civil / Structural	Hazard not eliminated at this design Stage.	design has minimised quantity of rock required by increasing the seaward slope within possible. Early design of the rock structures & grading to allow delivery rock delivery to commence early in programme.	2	5	10	Death/injury to site personnel from loss of control of rocks (movement) due to soft ground conditions/unexpected by construction plans). Risk of injury to eye as a result of rock splinters.	Contractor Reliability/Method Statement (this is not a Jacobs document)	Contractor	Phase 4			ONGOING	Contractor to prepare method statement and safe system of work. Experienced Contractor and subcontractors to be appointed.	BSA								

Latest Meeting Date		Update Critical Risk Summary Tab		Worst Potential Severity (WPS) of Impact										Risk Rating											
Phase				1: Nil or slight injury / illness, property damage or environmental issue.										High HSEED risk resulting from design is unacceptably high. Review design to reduce HSEED residual risk to an acceptable and manageable level.											
P Pre construction C Construction M Maintenance/Train U Use as a Workplace D Demolish				2: Minor injury / illness, property damage or environmental issue.										Medium HSEED risk resulting from design is permitted with appropriate design controls and management oversight in place.											
Project Name: East Coast Railway - Phase 3: CCA2 & CCA3				3: Moderate injury or illness, property damage or environmental issue.										Low HSEED risk resulting from design is permitted.											
Project Number: D3658302				4: Major injury or illness, property damage or environmental issue.										NOTE: The purpose of Risk Rating is to determine which risks are significant. It is a subjective assessment and not an absolute or precise determination.											
Client: Metrolink Eastern Irish Rail		5: Fatal or long term disabling injury or illness. Significant property damage or environmental issue.										10: Multiple fatalities and catastrophic event													
<div>11 Total high risks</div> <div>5 Total med risks</div> <div>21 Total low risks</div>																									
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24		
Risk ID	Design Hazard Review Stage Description	Phase	Activity	Potential Hazard	Person(s) Most at Risk	Prob	WPS	Initial Risk Rating	designer	design Measures to Eliminate Hazards	design Measures to Reduce Risk	Residual Prob	Residual WPS	Residual Risk Rating	Residual Risk Description	Included on Drawing No(s) or other doc. (give ref.)	Action By (Name or Role)	Target Date	Revised Target Date	Date Action Complete	Tracker Status	Comments	Primary Legislation		
7	2- design Stage Review			Contamination of the environment. Injury to site operatives.	Staff	3	4	10	Civil / Structural	Hazard not eliminated at this design Stage.	Minimise the volume of muck concrete. During detailed design, where required, joints to be spaced closely spaced to allow for preparation, casting and adequate curing within tides.	2	5	10	Contamination of the environment.	Contractor Reliability/Method Statement (this is not a Jacobs document)	Contractor	Phase 4			ONGOING	designer to minimise concrete muck works. Contractor to ensure experienced and trained personnel to handle potentially contaminating materials. Contractor to provide thorough method statement and safe system of work.	SEA		
8	2- design Stage Review			Injury of operatives (burns etc.)	Staff	3	4	10	Civil / Structural	Hazard not eliminated at this design Stage.	Minimise the volume of muck concrete. During detailed design, where required, muck works to be simplified to minimise exposure.	2	5	10	Injury of operatives (burns...)	Contractor Reliability/Method Statement (this is not a Jacobs document)	Contractor	Phase 4			ONGOING	designer to minimise concrete muck works. Contractor to ensure experienced and trained personnel to handle potentially hazardous materials and provide adequate PPE. Contractor to provide thorough method statement and safe system of work.	SEA		
9	2- design Stage Review			Risk of plant overturning during moving or lifting on slope.	Staff	3	5	10	Civil / Structural	Hazard not eliminated at this design Stage.	The proposed Concept design solutions can be adjusted to reduce the risk following results of the Q1 and geotechnical analyses. Reassess bearing capacity of slope treatment to be checked and aligned with Contractor for temporary works design.	2	5	10	Risk of plant overturning on slope or temporary working platform - Contractor to undertake safe working practices	Contractor Reliability/Method Statement (this is not a Jacobs document)	designer / Contractor	Phase 4			ONGOING	designer to assess the bearing capacity of the existing concrete structures. Contractor to prepare method statement of lifting and safe temporary working platform.	SEA		
10	2- design Stage Review			Potential for public to become injured if gaining access to site works while heavy plant etc are working	Public	2	5	10	Civil / Structural	Hazard not eliminated at this design Stage.	At detailed design stage, contractor to address public access concerns as part of method statement.	2	5	10	Risk of injury to public due to access gained to site.	Drawings (to be prepared at DD stage) Contractor Reliability/Method Statement (this is not a Jacobs document)	designer / Contractor	Phase 4			ONGOING	Contractor to prepare method statement and safe systems of work. These will ensure that the chance of public access to the site is limited as much as practically possible.	SEA		
11	2- design Stage Review			Public access to the beach restricted	Public	3	5	10	Civil / Structural	Hazard not eliminated at this design Stage.	The footprint of the revetments has been minimised as much as possible at this stage, including burying the toe rather than an exposed toe.	2	5	10	People becoming trapped during changing tides	Documents (to be prepared at DD stage)	designer / Client	Phase 4			ONGOING	designer to review beach access points during detailed design development. Consider installing warning signs at access points to highlight risk to the public.	SEA		
12	2- design Stage Review			Proximity to Trainline	Staff	2	5	10	Civil / Structural	Works are not proposed close to the railway line	Strict regulatory guidelines must be followed. Worker training provision required to advise on hazards of working near trainlines.	1	5	5	Collision with train, vibrations from train causing rock fall	Documents (to be prepared at DD stage)	designer / Client	Phase 4			ONGOING	Client to ensure signage is installed at visible locations along the access points. Signs should also be provided to warn pedestrians of presence of maintenance vehicles	SEA		



Latest Meeting Date		Update Critical Risk Summary Tab										Worst Potential Severity (WPS) of Impact										Risk Rating																									
Event												1: Nil or slight injury / illness, property damage or environmental issue. 2: Minor injury / illness, property damage or environmental issue. 3: Moderate injury or illness, property damage or environmental issue. 4: Major injury or illness, property damage or environmental issue. 5: Fatal or long term disabling injury or illness. Significant property damage or environmental issue. 10: Multiple fatalities and catastrophic event										High HSEED risk resulting from design is unacceptably high. Review design to reduce HSEED residual risk to an acceptable and manageable level. Medium HSEED risk resulting from design is permitted with appropriate design controls and management oversight in place. Low HSEED risk resulting from design is permitted.																									
Project Name:		Earl Court Railway - Phase 1: C&A2 & C&A3																																													
Project Number:		D3658302																																													
Client:		Metrolink Eastern Irish Rail																																													
1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9		10		11		12		13		14		15		16		17		18		19		20		21		22		23		24	
Risk ID		Design Hazard Review Stage Description		Phase		Activity		Potential Hazard		Person(s) Most at Risk		Prob		WPS		Initial Risk Rating		designer		design Measures to Eliminate Hazards		design Measures to Reduce Risk		Residual Prob		Residual WPS		Residual Risk Rating		Residual Risk Description		Included on Drawing No(s) or other doc. (give ref.)		Action By (Name or Role)		Target Date		Revised Target Date		Date Action Complete		Tracker Status		Comments		Primary Legislation	
13		Design Stage Review		C		Delivery of rock		Risk of barge being grounded.		Staff		3		3		9		Civil / Structural		Bathy survey undertaken and reviewed by construction advisory team and considered acceptable for delivery of rock		Dislodging of rock close to the shoreline to plan rock delivery within tidal windows and not work dependent.		2		3		6		Risk of barge being grounded.		Contractor Buildability/Method Statement (this is not a Jacobs document)		Contractor		Phase 4						ONGOING		Contractor to plan rock delivery with tidal restrictions. Contractor to prepare method statement and safe system of work. Experienced Contractor and subcontractors to be appointed.		SEA	
14		Design Stage Review		C		In temporary state the elements of the construction will be subject to wave and tidal conditions		Failure of partially completed works leading to damage of surrounding structures. Potential failure in temporary condition leading to injury to workers.		Construction		3		4		12		Civil / Structural		Design does not require removal of any of the existing defences. Hazard not eliminated at this design stage.		The partially constructed new revetment will be subject to the temporary loading in a transient state. The design transient states will be identified and considered in the detailed design stage. These are considered to be minimal due to the new works adding to existing structures, with no intentional damage to existing structures.		2		4		8		Damage to existing structures during construction which impacts their performance. Identified and considered in the detailed design. Contractor expected to consider protection measures for the partially constructed new structures.		Drawings & Documents (to be prepared at DD stage) Contractor Buildability/Method Statement (this is not a Jacobs document)		designer / Contractor		Phase 4						ONGOING		Contractor to have competent experience of working in tidal environment. Contractor to develop safe systems of work in intertidal areas including the provision of appropriate PPE. Contractor to obtain frequent weather reports and be proactive in the assessment of weather conditions and adapt accordingly. Contractor's temporary works design to include storm conditions.		SEA	
15		Design Stage Review		C		Delivery and storage of geotextile material		Risk of falling rolls of geotextile resulting in injury to construction personnel and public.		Staff		2		3		6		Civil / Structural		Hazard not eliminated at this design stage.		Safe delivery and storage methods will be defined in the geotextile specification during detailed design.		2		3		6		Risk of falling rolls of geotextile resulting in injury to construction personnel and public.		Specifications (to be prepared at DD stage) Contractor Buildability/Method Statement (this is not a Jacobs document)		designer / Contractor		Phase 4						ONGOING		Contractor to identify secure area for storage of geotextile material and in accordance with the specification and propose method for storage. Experienced Contractor and subcontractors to be appointed.		SEA	
16		Design Stage Review		C		Works between construction phases		Risk of cutting, trip hazard. The precast construction may involve dowel bars on the ground or starter bar protruding out from concrete.		Staff		3		2		6		Civil / Structural		Hazard not eliminated at this design stage.		Minimise in situ rebar connections by using precast.		3		2		6		Risk of cutting, trip hazard. The precast construction may involve dowel bars on the ground or starter bar protruding out from concrete.		Contractor Buildability/Method Statement (this is not a Jacobs document)		designer / Contractor		Phase 4						ONGOING		designer to design and minimise dowel connections during detailed design stages. Contractor to provide coloured plastic caps to every protruding bar.		SEA	
17		Design Stage Review		C		Noise/vibration impacts on marine habitat		Disturbance to wildlife due to site/plant activities, in relation to noise and vibration caused.		Environment		3		3		9		Civil / Structural		Hazard not eliminated at this design stage.		Correct permissions also obtained in order to complete works. Conditions of permit will allow for protection of habitats/wildlife if required. Risks are generally high up the beach and therefore present less of a concern for marine life.		2		3		6		Disturbance to marine life.		To be covered in EIA and Contractor Buildability/Method Statement (this is not a Jacobs document)		designer / Contractor		Phase 4						ONGOING		Contractor to provide thorough method statement and safe system of work. EIA provided at detailed design stage.		SEA	
18		Design Stage Review		C		Risk of discovery of Unexploded Ordnance (UXO)		Possible presence on site of unexploded ordnance. Loss of life, injury (including hearing damage) due to explosion.		Staff		1		5		5		Civil / Structural		Hazard not eliminated at this design stage.		UXO Desk study to be undertaken during detailed design development		1		5		5		Possible presence on site of unexploded ordnance. Loss of life, injury (including hearing damage) due to explosion.		Drawings & Documents (to be prepared at DD stage) Contractor Buildability/Method Statement (this is not a Jacobs document)		designer / Contractor		Phase 4						ONGOING		Risk Assessment to be updated after undertaking UXO survey.		SEA	

11 Total high risks

5 Total med risks

21 Total low risks

Latest Meeting Date

Phase

P Pre-construction

C Construction

M Maintain/Close

U Use as a Workplace

D Demolish

Update Critical Risk Summary Tab

Project Name: Earl Court Railway - Phase 1: C&A2 & C&A3

Project Number: D3658302

Event: Normal Exposure/High Rail

- Probability
- 1: Highly Unlikely
  - 2: Unlikely
  - 3: Possible
  - 4: Likely
  - 5: Highly Likely

- Worst Potential Severity (WPS) of Impact
- 1: Nil or slight injury / illness, property damage or environmental issue.
  - 2: Minor injury / illness, property damage or environmental issue.
  - 3: Moderate injury or illness, property damage or environmental issue.
  - 4: Major injury or illness, property damage or environmental issue.
  - 5: Fatal or long term disabling injury or illness. Significant property damage or environmental issue.
10. Multiple fatalities and catastrophic event

**Risk Rating**

High

Medium

Low

HSEED risk resulting from design is unacceptably High. Review design to reduce HSEED residual risk to an acceptable and manageable level.

HSEED risk resulting from design is permitted with appropriate design controls and management oversight in place.

HSEED risk resulting from design is permitted.

NOTE: The purpose of Risk Rating is to determine which risks are significant. It is a subjective assessment and not an absolute or precise determination

RISK

5	4	3	2	1
5	4	3	2	1
5	4	3	2	1
5	4	3	2	1
5	4	3	2	1

SEVERITY

11 Total high risks

5 Total med risks

21 Total low risks

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
Risk ID	Design Hazard Review Stage Description	Phase	Activity	Potential Hazard	Person(s) Most at Risk	Prob	WPS	Initial Risk Rating	designer	design Measures to Eliminate Hazards	design Measures to Reduce Risk	Residual Prob	Residual WPS	Residual Risk Rating	Residual Risk Description	Included on Drawing No(s) or other doc. (Yes or No)	Action by (Name or Role)	Target Date	Revised Target Date	Date Action Complete	Tracker Status	Comments	Primary Legislation
19	Design Stage Review	C	Working on the coast. Working in the rail zone, ensuring site level can rise rapidly.	Tidal working on an exposed coast has a heightened risk of flooding and loss of equipment due to un-expected storms or wave/current regime.	Staff	3	5	15	Civil / Structural	Hazard not eliminated at this design Stage.	Construction in tidal zone unavoidable, but minimized and amplified as far as possible.	1	5	5	Tidal working on an exposed coast has a heightened risk of drowning and loss of equipment due to un-expected storms or wave/current regime.	Contractor Buildability/Method Statement (this is not a Jacobs document)	Contractor	Phase 4			ONGOING	Contractor to obtain tidal information to be able to plan work accordingly. Contractor to have competent experience of working in tidal environment. Contractor to develop safe systems of work in tidal areas including the provision of appropriate PPE and identification of access points. Obtain frequent weather reports to predict tidal conditions. Tidal monitoring to be undertaken.	SEA
20	Design Stage Review	C	Undermining/stability of existing structures leading to collapse	Collapse of existing structures and/or crushing/injury to personnel and plant.	Staff	3	5	15	Civil / Structural	Hazard not eliminated at this design Stage.	The proposed Concept design solutions can be adjusted to reduce the risk following results of the GI and geotechnical analysis, during detailed design.	1	5	5	Undermining/stability/overloading of existing structures leading to damage/collapse. Assessment of access routes and temporary works (by Contractor).	Drawings & Documents (to be prepared at CD stage) Contractor Buildability/Method Statement (this is not a Jacobs document)	designer / Contractor	Phase 4			ONGOING	Risk to be updated following completion GI and geotechnical analysis. These data and visual displacement combined will support the determination of the tolerable loading.	SEA
21	Design Stage Review	C	Excavations and Foundations	Rapid ingress of water, causing possible entrapment leading to injury/drowning of site personnel.	Staff	2	5	10	Civil / Structural	Hazard not eliminated at this design Stage.	The sea excavation has been reported within the required structure stability. The proposed Concept design solutions can be adjusted to reduce the risk following results of the GI and geotechnical analysis, during detailed design.	1	5	5	Rapid ingress of water, causing possible entrapment leading to injury/drowning of site personnel.	Drawings (to be prepared at CD stage) Contractor Buildability/Method Statement (this is not a Jacobs document)	designer / Contractor	Phase 4			ONGOING	Contractor to prepare method statement and safe systems of work and plan works to minimise access to the excavated areas.	SEA

Latest Meeting Date

Phase

P Pre-construction  
C Construction  
M Maintain/Close  
U Use as a Workplace  
D Demolish

Update Critical Risk Summary Tab

Probability

1: Highly Unlikely  
2: Unlikely  
3: Possible  
4: Likely  
5: Highly Likely

Worst Potential Severity (WPS) of Impact

1: Nil or slight injury / illness, property damage or environmental issue.  
2: Minor injury / illness, property damage or environmental issue.  
3: Moderate injury or illness, property damage or environmental issue.  
4: Major injury or illness, property damage or environmental issue.  
5: Fatal or long term disabling injury or illness. Significant property damage or environmental issue.

10. Multiple fatalities and catastrophic event

High

HSEI risk resulting from design is unacceptably high. Review design to reduce HSEI residual risk to an acceptable and manageable level.

Medium

HSEI risk resulting from design is permitted with appropriate design controls and management oversight in place.

Low

HSEI risk resulting from design is permitted.

NOTE: The purpose of Risk Rating is to determine which risks are significant. It is a subjective assessment and not an absolute or precise determination

	1	2	3	4	5
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					

REVIEW

11 Total high risks

5 Total med risks

21 Total low risks

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
Risk ID	Design Hazard Review Stage Description	Phase	Activity	Potential Hazard	Person(s) Most at Risk	Prob	WPS	Initial Risk Rating	designer	design Measures to Eliminate Hazards	design Measures to Reduce Risk	Residual Prob	Residual WPS	Residual Risk Rating	Residual Risk Description	Included on Drawing No(s) or other doc. (give ref.)	Action by (Name or Ref)	Target Date	Revised Target Date	Date Action Complete	Tracker Status	Comments	Primary Legislation
22	Design Stage Review	C	Delivery of risk navigational hazards	Hazard for navigational hazards in shallow waters.	Staff	2	5	10	Civil / Structural	Hazard not eliminated at this design Stage.	Construction of temporary mooring routes for movement of rock by land may be required where waters are too shallow. This can therefore avoid a significant potential for navigational hazards. The areas where this is applicable can be identified further at detailed design stage.	1	5	5	Hazard for navigational hazards in shallow waters.	Contractor Buildability/Method Statement (this is not a Jacobs document)	Contractor	Phase 4			ONGOING	Contractor to prepare method statement and safe systems of work and plan works to minimise risk of navigational hazards. Experienced Contractor and subcontractors to be appointed.	SEA
23	Design Stage Review	C	Delivery of rock	Falling rocks leading to injury/death of site personnel. Risk of injury to eye as a result of rock splinters.	Staff	2	5	10	Civil / Structural	Hazard not eliminated at this design Stage.	design has minimised quantity of rock required by increasing the reinstatement slope within possible. Early design of the rock structures & grading to allow delivery rock delivery to commence early in programme.	1	5	5	Falling objects leading to injury/death of site personnel. Risk of injury to eye as a result of rock splinters.	Contractor Buildability/Method Statement (this is not a Jacobs document)	Contractor	Phase 4			ONGOING	Contractor to prepare method statement and safe system of work. Experienced Contractor and subcontractors to be appointed.	SEA
24	Design Stage Review	C	In-situ concrete pouring	Risk of unstable formwork and falsework on existing sloping concrete reinstatement.	Staff	2	5	10	Civil / Structural	Hazard not eliminated at this design Stage.	Minimise the volume of rebar concrete and replace formwork and falsework on slope to permanent precast element.	1	5	5	Risk of unstable formwork remains. Contractor to undertake temp works design and consider staging the concrete pour at detailed design stage.	Contractor Buildability/Method Statement (this is not a Jacobs document) & Temporary works design	designer	Phase 4			ONGOING	designer to minimise volume of rebar concrete during detailed design. Contractor to provide thorough method statement and safe system of work.	SEA
25	Design Stage Review	C	Lifting operations. Lifting of plant or materials (i.e. precast units) may be carried out in gully service	There is a risk of the lifted item becoming out of control with the risk of crushing of personnel. Damage to property and injury to death of personnel from overhead loads and falling objects.	Staff	3	5	15	Civil / Structural	Hazard not eliminated at this design Stage.	Appropriate weights and appropriate centre of gravity of precast units to be shown in detailed design drawings.	1	5	5	There is a risk of the lifted item becoming out of control with the risk of crushing of personnel. Damage to property and injury to death of personnel from overhead loads and falling objects.	Drawings (to be prepared at DD stage) Contractor Buildability/Method Statement (this is not a Jacobs document)	designer / Contractor	Phase 4			ONGOING	Contractor to prepare method statement of lifting and safe temporary working platform. Contractor to check the unit weight and centre of gravity before any lifting is carried out. Contractor to obtain frequent weather reports and be proactive in the assessment of weather conditions and adapt accordingly.	SEA
26	Design Stage Review	U	Visits in rock armour	Risk of falling/entanglement to the general public and maintenance staff	Public	3	5	15	Civil / Structural	Hazard not eliminated at this design Stage.	Beach access points etc to be considered in the detailed design Stage.	1	5	5	Risk that public could still fall onto / into rock reinstatement, but this would likely only occur if purposely climbing onto / over the beach walls.	Drawings & Documents (to be prepared at DD stage)	Contractor / Client	Phase 4			ONGOING	Contractor to individually place rocks to minimise deep rock overruns (voids) as per risk Specifications. Client to plan and undertake maintenance activities to reposition rocks if they become non-interlocked. Client to ensure signage is installed.	SEA
27	Design Stage Review	U	Visits in rock armour	Risk of falling/entanglement to the maintenance staff.	Staff	3	5	15	Civil / Structural	Hazard not eliminated at this design Stage.	Beach access points etc to be considered in the detailed design Stage.	1	5	5	Maintenance staff to take care to not climb onto rock reinstatement.	Drawings & Documents (to be prepared at DD stage)	Contractor / Client	Phase 4			ONGOING	Contractor to individually place rocks to minimise deep rock overruns (voids) as per risk Specifications. Client to plan and undertake maintenance activities to reposition rocks if they become non-interlocked. Client to ensure signage is installed.	SEA

Jacobs

design HAZARD ELIMINATION AND RISK REDUCTION REGISTER

Latest Meeting Date

Phase

P Pre-construction  
C Construction  
M Maintain/Clean  
U Use as a Workplane  
D Demolish

Update Critical Risk Summary Tab

Project Name: Earl Court Midway - Phase 1: CCA2 & CCA3

Project Number: D3658302

Client: Harwood Edwards Irish Rail

Probability

1: Highly Unlikely  
2: Unlikely  
3: Possible  
4: Likely  
5: Highly Likely

Worst Potential Severity (WPS) of Impact

1: Nil or slight injury / illness, property damage or environmental issue.  
2: Minor injury / illness, property damage or environmental issue.  
3: Moderate injury or illness, property damage or environmental issue.  
4: Major injury or illness, property damage or environmental issue.  
5: Fatal or long term disabling injury or illness. Significant property damage or environmental issue.  
10: Multiple fatalities and catastrophic event

Risk Rating

High

Medium

Low

NOTE: The purpose of Risk Rating is to determine which risks are significant. It is a subjective assessment and not an absolute or precise determination

HSEED risk resulting from design is unacceptably high. Review design to reduce HSEED residual risk to an acceptable and manageable level.  
HSEED risk resulting from design is permitted with appropriate design controls and management oversight in place.  
HSEED risk resulting from design is permitted.

11 Total high risks

5 Total med risks

21 Total low risks

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
Risk ID	Design Hazard Review Stage Description	Phase	Activity	Potential Hazard	Person(s) Most at Risk	Prob	WPS	Initial Risk Rating	designer	design Measures to Eliminate Hazards	design Measures to Reduce Risk	Residual Prob	Residual WPS	Residual Risk Rating	Residual Risk Description	Included on Drawing No(s) or other doc. (given ref)	Action By (Name or Ref)	Target Date	Revised Target Date	Date Action Complete	Tracker Status	Comments	Primary Legislation
25	Branch design Review	U		Excision / branch lowering over the top of the rock treatment could become partially exposed leading to top hazard, or people falling between the rock voids through a very thin bench layer.	Public	3	5	10	Civil / Structural	Hazard not eliminated at this design stage.	The investment has been designed to account for future branch lowering. Rock specifications during detailed design will specify rock placement to reduce deep rock storage (voids).	1	5	5	Slips, trips and fall or people becoming trapped	Documents (to be prepared at CD stage)	designer	Phase 4			ONGOING	Risk to be addressed throughout design development and low detail solution as required to reduce risk. Contractor to immediately place rocks to minimise deep rock storage (voids) as per rock Specifications.	100%

Latest Meeting Date		Update Critical Risk Summary Tab		Worst Potential Severity (WPS) of Impact										Risk Rating									
Phase				1: Nil or slight injury / illness, property damage or environmental issue. 2: Minor injury / illness, property damage or environmental issue. 3: Moderate injury or illness, property damage or environmental issue. 4: Major injury or illness, property damage or environmental issue. 5: Fatal or long term disabling injury or illness. Significant property damage or environmental issue. 10: Multiple fatalities and catastrophic event										NOTE: The purpose of Risk Rating is to determine which risks are significant. It is a subjective assessment and not an absolute or precise determination									
Project Name:		Earl Court Railway - Phase 1: CC&Z & CL&S																					
Project Number:		D3658302																					
Client:		Iarnród Éireann Irish Rail																					
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
Risk ID	Design Hazard Review Stage Description	Phase	Activity	Potential Hazard	Person(s) Most at Risk	Prob	WPS	Initial Risk Rating	designer	design Measures to Eliminate Hazards	design Measures to Reduce Risk	Residual Prob	Residual WPS	Residual Risk Rating	Residual Risk Description	Included on Drawing No(s) or other doc. (give ref.)	Action By (Name or Role)	Target Date	Revised Target Date	Date Action Complete	Tracker Status	Comments	Primary Legislation
29	B design Stage Review	U	Wave overtopping onto the footpath	Injury from large waves overtopping the seawalls onto the footpath.	Public	2	5	10	Civil / Structural	Hazard not eliminated at this design Stage.	Risk reduced by designing front wave walls to minimise overtopping onto footpaths. Footpath heights raised to provide the majority of the public with a clear view of the sea. Overtopping takes onto the footpath to be reviewed during detailed design development	1	5	5	Injury due to waves	Drawings & Documents (to be prepared at DD stage)	designer	Phase 4			ONGOING	designer to undertake further analysis of wave overtopping and geometry of structures during design development	RSA
30	B design Stage Review	U	Falls from height	Public falling from raised concrete pathways	Public	2	5	10	Civil / Structural	A front kerb has been designed along the seaward face of the raised footpath and below this an access and amenity path is set back a step to beach level. At locations where there are access ramps running parallel to the seaward face of the raised footpath and this result in a larger drop, handrails will be installed	A front kerb has been designed along the seaward face of the raised footpath and below this an access and amenity path is set back a step to beach level. At locations where there are access ramps running parallel to the seaward face of the raised footpath and this result in a larger drop, handrails will be installed	1	5	5	Falls from height	Documents (to be prepared at DD stage)	designer	Phase 4			ONGOING	designer to undertake further design of walls and stepped landforms during design development to deter people climbing to wall	RSA
31	B design Stage Review	U	Change to swimming conditions	Structures within the coastal zone can change currents and swimming conditions which could lead to injury or death due to unexpected conditions to local beach users	Public	2	5	10	Civil / Structural	Hazard not eliminated at this design Stage.	Additional modelling has been undertaken to assess the potential impacts of the proposed works on the current and wave conditions. This has indicated minimal change in the currents	1	5	5	Minor risk of change in swimming conditions	Documents (to be prepared at DD stage)	designer / Client	Phase 4			ONGOING	designer to consider further consider this risk through detailed design.	RSA
32	B design Stage Review	U	Unstable/sloft ground conditions in front of seawall	Risk of entrapment in unstable soft saturated ground in front of seawall - minimal access points.	Public	2	4	8	Civil / Structural	Hazard not eliminated at this design Stage.	Risk removed as it reduces the potential for scour and subsequent impact on ground conditions	1	4	4	Risk of entrapment in unstable soft saturated ground in front of seawall - minimal access points.	Documents (to be prepared at DD stage)	Client	Phase 4			ONGOING	Appropriate signage on promenade warning of risks of accessing beach area.	RSA
33	B design Stage Review	U	Settlement of the ground	Excessive settlement of the structures resulting in unsafe conditions (e.g. uneven ramps, uneven step heights), or structural failure of the structures. Slips, trips, falls.	Public	2	4	8	Civil / Structural	Hazard not eliminated at this design Stage.	The proposed Concept design solutions can be adjusted to reduce the risk following results of the GI and geotechnical analysis. Settlement values to be estimated at detailed design stage.	1	4	4	Risk that design measures limitation mean that settlement could still result in unsafe conditions. Consider pre loading the ground prior to installation of the top surface structures to mitigate if required.	Drawings (to be prepared at DD stage)	designer	Phase 4			ONGOING	Risk to be updated following completion GI and geotechnical analysis. designer to quantify settlement and consider the settlement in the design detailing.	RSA
34	B design Stage Review	C	Delivery of rock	Grounding of barge leading to oil spill	Environment	2	5	10	Civil / Structural	Hazard not eliminated at this design Stage.	Barge is designed to be partially beachbed. Suitable use at correct tide times can limit the likelihood of grounding significantly.	1	3	3	Risk of barge being grounded.	Contractor Suitability/Method Statement (this is not a Jacobs document)	Contractor	Phase 4			ONGOING	Contractor to plan rock delivery with tidal restrictions. Contractor to prepare method statement and safe system of work. Experienced Contractor and subcontractors to be appointed.	RSA

11 Total high risks

5 Total med risks

21 Total low risks

Latest Meeting Date		Update Critical Risk Summary Tab				Probability		Worst Potential Severity (WPS) of Impact										Risk Rating								11 Total high risks	
Phase						1: Highly Unlikely		1: Nil or slight injury / illness, property damage or environmental issue. 2: Minor injury / illness, property damage or environmental issue. 3: Moderate injury or illness, property damage or environmental issue. 4: Major injury or illness, property damage or environmental issue. 5: Fatal or long term disabling injury or illness. Significant property damage or environmental issue. 10: Multiple fatalities and catastrophic event										NOTE: The purpose of Risk Rating is to determine which risks are significant. It is a subjective assessment and not an absolute or precise determination								5 Total med risks	
Project Name:						4: Likely		5: Highly Likely																		21 Total low risks	
Project Number:		Earl Court Delivery - Phase 3 - CCA2 & CCA3																									
Client:		D3658302																									
		Iarnród Éireann Irish Rail																									
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24				
Risk ID	Design Hazard Review Stage Description	Phase	Activity	Potential Hazard	Person(s) Most at Risk	Prob	WPS	Initial Risk Rating	designer	design Measures to Eliminate Hazards	design Measures to Reduce Risk	Residual Prob	Residual WPS	Residual Risk Rating	Residual Risk Description	Included on Drawing No(s) or other doc. (give ref.)	Action By (Name or Role)	Target Date	Revised Target Date	Date Action Complete	Tracker Status	Comments	Primary Legislation				
29	C Design Stage Review		Use of Hazardous Materials (concrete, grout, ... ) for works preparation	Health problems and Environmental damage due to contact with / exposure to concrete, grout etc.	Staff	3	3	9	Civil / Structural	Hazard not eliminated at this design stage.	Minimise the volume of hazardous materials. During detailed design, where required, make works to be amplified to minimise exposure.	1	3	3	Health problems and Environmental damage due to contact with / exposure to concrete, grout etc.	Contractor Riskability/Method Statement (this is not a Jacobs document)	Contractor	Phase 4			ONGOING	Contractor to insure experienced and trained personnel to handle potentially hazardous materials and provide adequate PPE. Contractor to provide thorough method statement and safe system of work.	REA				
30	C Design Stage Review		Road falls from cliffs onto footpath at Killiney	Injury or death to public due to cliff falls onto the footpath	Public	2	5	10	Civil / Structural	Hazard not eliminated at this design stage.	A detailed review of the cliff stability has been undertaken which indicates the risk of large rock falls is minimal. A catch fence is recommended as a precautionary measure.	1	5	5	Minor risk of injury due to cliff falls	Documents (to be prepared at DD stage)	designer / Client	Phase 4			ONGOING	Designer to review further at detailed design stage	REA				
37	C Design Stage Review		Exposing buried/hidden structures during excavation of revestment lot	Risk of injury to site personnel operating plant.	Staff	2	3	6	Civil / Structural	Hazard not eliminated at this design stage.	Operators to take care when operating plant on the beach and in tidal zone. At detailed design stage, any pre-existing structures to be addressed and the contractor made aware of them.	1	3	3	Risk of injury to site personnel operating plant.	Drawings (to be prepared at DD stage) Contractor Riskability/Method Statement (this is not a Jacobs document)	designer / Contractor	Phase 4			ONGOING	Contractor to prepare method statement and safe systems of work.	REA				



Project Name:	East Coast Railway - Phase 3 - CCA2 & CCA3
Project Number:	D3658302
Client:	Iarnród Éireann Irish Rail

## DESIGN HAZARD ELIMINATION & RISK REDUCTION SET UP PAGE

PERSON AT RISK	DESIGNER (Amend to suit)
Construction	Architect
Commissioning	Mechanical/ Electrical
Operations	Process
Maintenance	Civil / Structural
Decommissioning	Environmental
Demolition	Control & Instrumentation
Public	Piping
User Entry	HVAC
User Entry	Commissioning
	Non Jacobs Designer
	Client
	User entry
	User entry
	User entry
	All Disciplines

Review List
1: Design
2: Interactive Design Safety Session
3: HAZOP Meeting
4: HAZID Meeting
5: Routine Design Team Meeting
6: Design Stage Review
7: Pre-Tender Design Review
8: Construction Phase Design Revision
9: HSE in Design Review

Phase List
P Pre-construction
C Construction
M Maintain / Clean
U Use as a workplace
D Demolish/Decommission

Severity of Injury	
1	Nil or slight injury / illness, property damage or environmental issue.
2	Minor injury / illness, property damage or environmental issue.
3	Moderate injury or illness, property damage or environmental issue.
4	Major injury or illness, property damage or environmental issue.
5	Fatal or long term disabling injury or illness. Massive property damage or environmental issue.
10	Multiple fatality and catastrophic event

Probability	
1	Highly Unlikely
2	Unlikely
3	Possible
4	Likely
5	Highly Likely

Residual Risk
Yes
No

## DESIGN HAZARD WHEEL

The de5ign Hazard Wheel has been developed to assist technical design teams identify health, safety and environment in design hazards, considering the asset's whole lifecycle.

Refer to the [de5ign Manual](#) to download the most up to date interactive version of this tool.

